

NH Assembly of
Alcoholics Anonymous

How Should We Treat Our A.A. Founders' Writing?

Participate in a discussion of the questions drafted by
the Trustees Literature Committee, to arm your
Delegate Sue W with our Area 43 collective conscience
to bring to the General Service Conference!

Meeting ID: 755 883 2087

Passcode: Area43

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://zoom.us/j/7558832087?>

Sat. January 13: 10:00-11:30am

Wed. January 24: 6:30 - 8:00pm

Sat. January 27: 10:00-11:30am

Questions available on: nhaa.net under Delegate Updates
Click on: [Requesting Input On Our Founders' Writings](#)

OUR FOUNDERS' WRITINGS - Discussion Questions

Here's what I'm asking you to do:

- Print lots of copies
- Hand them out to every AA member at every AA meeting you attend
- **Pause**
- Think about the questions, make notes of ideas, don't rush to answers. There is plenty of time
- Discuss with your Group HOW you want to hold a Group discussion. Special business meeting? Want an outside facilitator? Prefer to gather a few Groups and hold the discussion together? Want to do it as an *All Hands On Deck* District session? When?
- Watch for communications from your incoming Panel 74 delegate, Sue W. She will be representing you at the Conference, so she's the one who needs to hear from you. Sue will be organizing LOTS of sharing opportunities beginning in January.

HOW SHOULD WE TREAT A.A. FOUNDERS' WRITINGS

SUGGESTED QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

1. Do you think that A.A. Founders would object to or embrace revisions to their writings? If yes, why? If not, why?
2. Do you think the Founders' writings are effective in reaching new members? If not, what measures do you think can be taken to resolve this issue?
3. What reasons would you consider for changing our Founders' writings?
4. How do you feel about changing A.A. Founders' writings to replace outdated references?
5. What suggestions do you have for preserving the Founders' writings, along with keeping pace with our current A.A. Society and its future?
6. Should there be a special Conference process for approval of changes to our Founders' writings? (e.g., super, or qualified majority – 75%, and/or 2-year consideration process.)
7. What additional ideas, thoughts or suggestions can you share about changing or not changing the Founders' writings?

Note: Please be as concise as possible in your responses to the questions.